Case 1:20-cv-03232-ALC-SDA Document 202 Filed 09/11/24 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Brett Messieh and Drew Lee, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

HDR Global Trading Limited, et al.,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:___
DATE FILED:__09/11/2024

1:20-cv-03232 (ALC) (SDA)

ORDER

STEWART D. AARON, United States Magistrate Judge:

For the reasons stated on the record during the telephone conference held with the parties on September 10, 2024 to address the discovery disputes raised in the joint status report filed on August 1, 2024 (Status Report, ECF No. 189), it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. With respect to the discovery disputes regarding Plaintiff's discovery requests:
- a. As it relates to the time period for discovery, Defendants shall produce responsive information for the six-year period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2021;
- b. As it relates to domesticity and jurisdictional contacts for discovery,

 Defendants shall produce documents responsive to the requests contained in the eight bulleted items on pages 8 and 9 of the Joint Status Report; and
- c. As it relates to trading on Non-BitMEX Crypto Exchanges, the Individual Defendants shall produce documents responsive to the requests contained in the three bulleted items on page 15 of the Joint Status Report.
 - 2. With respect to discovery disputes regarding Defendants' discovery requests:

Case 1:20-cv-03232-ALC-SDA Document 202 Filed 09/11/24 Page 2 of 2

a. As it relates to Plaintiff's trading on other digital asset platforms, Plaintiff

Drew Lee shall produce documents sufficient to identify his transactions on other digital asset

trading platforms for the same days on which he allegedly transacted on the BitMEX platform;

and

b. As it relates to pre-complaint expert analyses set forth in the Second

Amended Complaint, (i) Plaintiff shall respond to Interrogatory No. 3, which will provide the

identity or identities of the consulting experts, and (ii) Plaintiff shall produce any and all written

reports reflecting the "expert analysis," "quantitative expert analysis" and "expert statistical

analysis" referred to in the Second Amended Complaint. Plaintiff need not produce any other

documents regarding the consulting experts, including, for example, communications with the

consulting experts.

3. The parties shall file a joint status letter apprising the Court on the status of

discovery on Friday, November 15, 2024.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, New York

September 11, 2024

STEWART D. AARON

United States Magistrate Judge

Sterst d. aum

2